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Abstract

A new computer-based method is presented for the "tracing" of growth curves through fish length-frequency samples sequentially arranged in time. The method allows for an objective extraction of growth parameters whenever representative samples are available from a fish population. The method is suited both to the analysis of growth in tropical stocks and in temperate stocks, where it oscillates seasonally. A full program listing with user’s instructions is available from the authors.

Kurzfassung

ELEFAN I, ein Programm in BASIC zur objektiven Gewinnung von Wachstumsparametern aus Längenhäufigkeits-Daten

Eine neue Methode wird vorgestellt, die es mit Hilfe eines Kleincomputers erlaubt, das Wachstum von Fischen aus Längenhäufigkeitsdaten objektiv zu bestimmen. Die Methode ist objektiv, weil keine vorherigen Annahmen bezüglich der Altersstruktur der Proben gemacht werden müssen.

Die Methode kann benutzt werden, um das Wachstum von tropischen Fischen zu bestimmen, aber auch in temperierten Gewässern, wo das Wachstum saisonalen Schwankungen unterworfen ist.

Introduction

To the fishery biologist working on stock assessment, a knowledge of how the fish in a given stock grow is essential, since it is generally the growth of individual fish which provides, from year to year, the catch taken by a fishery.

For practical reasons, the available informations on the growth of fishes are generally reduced to and expressed by means of a single equation such as the von BERTALANFFY Growth Formula (VBGF).

The biological data which can be used to obtain the growth informations from which growth parameters can be estimated are of three general types:
- periodic markings (annual or daily) on skeletal parts, scales, otoliths or other bones (or on cartilage in elasmobranchs)
- tagging-recapture data
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- size frequency data - most commonly length-frequency data, such as shown in Figs. 1 A, 2 and 4.

In spite of frequent criticism, methods for the analysis of length-frequency data have found wider application than both the study of skeletal parts and tagging studies - at least in the case of small, short-lived fishes and invertebrates. The reason for this is that it is usually easier to collect and analyze length-frequency data than to study skeletal parts or conduct tagging studies.

**Fig. 1.** Length-frequency data on coral trout (*Plectropomus leopardus*) caught near Heron Island (Great Barrier Reef, Australia) in October 1971. From Goeden (1978).

- A. Original data; the ages are from Goeden, with question marks added. N = 319. Note small class intervals (5 mm).
- B. Same data, replotted in 2 cm class intervals to smooth out small irregularities, showing running average frequencies (over 5 length classes) to emphasize peaks (striped bars above running averages) and intervening troughs.
- C. Same data as in B, after division of each frequency value by the corresponding running average frequency, subtraction of 1 from each of the resulting quotients and subsequent minor adjustments to remove potential sources of bias. Note that "peaks" have been allotted similar numbers of "points", irrespective of the number of fish they represent. Arrows show the "points" used in the computation of ASP (see text)

"Paper and pencil" methods

The methods presently in use for the analysis of length-frequency data find their origin in the work of Petersen (1892) who pioneered both of the two "paper and pencil" methods still commonly applied to length-frequency samples. The first of these methods generally referred to as the "Petersen Method", essentially consists of attributing approximate ages to the various "peaks" of a single length-frequency sample (see Fig. 1 A), while the second of these methods, generally called "Modal Class Progression Analysis" consists of following the progression, along the length axis, of the peaks in a series of length-frequency samples sequentially arranged in time (Brothers 1980). These methods, however, have
often been found to generate questionable results (see e. g. the recent review by Josse et al. 1979 and the references therein). The reasons for potential failures of these methods are obvious: the spawning seasons of fishes are often quite long, and/or spawning may occur in several batches, each of these batches later resulting in a peak in the length-frequency distribution of the population (Brothers 1980).

Also, „much early work by D'Arcy Thompson and others, using Petersen’s method, was later shown to be inaccurate because a succession of modes had been treated as belonging to successive year classes, when in fact they represented only dominant year classes which were separated by one or more scarce broods“ (Ricker 1975, p. 203).

Thus, the various peaks occurring in any single length-frequency sample often cannot be attributed a definite age, nor unequivocally interconnected with the peaks of preceding, or succeeding samples which results in both the „Petersen Method“ and the „Modal Class Progression Analysis“ becoming fraught with uncertainties.

Actually, this is not even the worst shortcoming of these methods. An inherent feature of both methods is that the results obtained by different authors from the same set of data generally differ because the methods are essentially based on a subjective interpretation of the data.

This, more than anything else, is what has rendered these methods somewhat suspect, even if they are still widely used for lack of better alternatives.

It is with this problem in mind that we have developed the computer program presented here.

Fig. 2. A set of length-frequency samples arranged sequentially in time, with growth curve fitted by ELEFAN I. Note that the distance between the bases of the samples and the time period between sampling dates are proportional, and that the set of samples is "repeated" one year later, to allow for the forward projection of the growth curve. The curve has, with \( D = 1 \), the parameters \( \text{L}_{\infty} = 12.2 \text{ cm} \) and \( K = 1.3 \), with \( \text{ESP/ASP} = 0.804 \). It must be emphasized that the curve was not fitted by eye, and that no inputs were made as to expected ages of the various peaks, which of the peaks should be interconnected, etc., (see text). The data, which pertain to slipmouths (\( \text{Leiognathus bindus} \)) caught off Calicut, India, in 1958, were originally published by Balan (1967)
The new approach

The task we undertook, when starting to work on a new approach to the interpretation of length-frequency data, was essentially that of devising a computing procedure that would "trace" through a series of length-frequency samples sequentially arranged in time, a multitude of growth curves and select the single curve which, by passing through a maximum of peaks, would "explain" these peaks. However, the method would have to be wholly objective in the sense that anyone using the program should arrive, for each data set, at exactly the same results. The solution, thus would have to be based exclusively on the length-frequency data themselves, and would have to require no additional (necessarily subjective) inputs, such as for example the assumed number of age groups represented in each sample, as required, e.g., by the "Cassie Method" (CASSIE 1954), or when using the NORMSEP program, still often used for dissecting length-frequency samples (see Mathews 1974 or Abrahamson 1971).

We have succeeded in this, the result being a computer program called ELEFAN I (Electronic LEnghth Frequency ANalysis) which, since it is written in BASIC can be run on most of the cheap microcomputers now available (we used a Radio-Shack TSR-80, Level II, 16K).

Put anthropomorphically, the program "does" the following:

1. "restructures" the length-frequency sample(s) that have been entered, such that small but clearly identifiable peaks are attributed a number of "points" similar to that of peaks based on a larger number of fishes. [The procedure used here essentially consists of calculating running average frequencies (over 5 length classes), dividing each length-frequency value by the corresponding running average frequency, then subtracting 1 from the quotient. A few other minor adjustments are then made to prevent certain types of samples from generating biases]. An example of such restructuring, which is entirely automatic is given in Fig. 1 A–C.

Fig. 3. Length-frequency data on coral trout, fitted with a growth curve by means of ELEFAN I. Note that it is the original sample of Fig. 1 A which is shown here, but that the optimization performed by ELEFAN I was based on the "restructured" sample of Fig. 1 C. The growth curve has, with $D = 1$, the parameters $L = 62.4 \text{ cm}$ and $K = 0.31$, with ESP/ASP = 0.942. It is again emphasized that the curve was traced without any input except for the length-frequency data themselves.

The curve provides an interpretation of the age structure of the sample different from that originally presented by Goeden. Particularly, what was identified as age group 5 (see Fig. 1 A) appears to be age group 6, while the longevity of the fish appears quite higher than originally assumed.
2. calculates the maximum sum of points „available“ in a (set of) length-frequency sample(s) (see Fig. 1 C) [„available points“ refers here to points which can possibly be „accumulated“ by one single growth curve; see below]. This sum is termed „available sum of peaks“ (ASP).

3. „traces“ through the (set of) length-frequency sample(s) sequentially arranged in time, for any arbitrary „seed“ input of \( L_m \) and \( K \), a series of growth curves started from the base of each of the peaks, and projected backward and forward in time to meet all other samples of the sample set (Fig. 2) and/or the same sample repeated again and again (Fig. 3).

4. accumulates the „points“ obtained by each growth curve when passing through peaks (positive points) or through the troughs separating peaks (negative points) (see Fig. 1 B and C).

5. selects the curve which, by passing through most peaks and avoiding most troughs best „explains“ the peaks in the (set of) sample(s) and therefore accumulates the largest number of points. This new sum is called „explained sum of peaks“ (ESP).

6. decrements or increments the „seeded“ values of \( L_m > \) and \( K \) until the ratio ESP/ASP reaches a maximum, and gives the growth parameters corresponding to this optimum ratio.

The validity of the procedure outlined here rests on the following assumptions:

1. That the sample(s) used represents the population investigated.
2. That the growth pattern in the population is the same from year to year.
3. That the VBGF describes the average growth of the investigated stock and more specifically:
4. That all fishes in the (set of) sample(s) have the same length at the same age, and that, therefore, differences in length can be attributed to differences in age.

**Fig. 4.** Length-frequency data on the gobiid *Chasmichthys dolichognathus*, fitted with a seasonally oscillating growth curve by means of ELEFAN I. The original length-frequency data, gathered from April to December 1974 (with the exception of the January-February sample, obtained in 1970) have been here plotted twice to show that the forward projection of the growth curve meets the modal class(es) of most samples which resulted in the very high value of ESP/USP = 0.933. The growth parameters are, with \( D = 1 \): \( L_m = 6 \) cm, \( K = 1.0, C = 1.0 \) and \( WP = 0 \), the latter two values corresponding to a brief period of no growth at the turn of the year (data from TAMURA and HONMA 1977)
Of these 4 assumptions, the first is a sampling problem and need not be discussed here. Assumptions 2 and 3 appear to be realistic, and they are in fact made — explicitly or not — every time the growth parameters of fish are calculated on the basis of annual markings on skeletal parts.

The last of these assumptions does not strictly apply, since it is known that fishes having the same age may have different length. We feel, however, that no strong bias is generated by making this assumption.

Seasonal growth oscillations

Polar and temperate fishes, and to a lesser extent subtropical and tropical fishes, generally display seasonal growth patterns.

In order for our program to be more versatile, and to allow for its use in the analysis of seasonally oscillating length-frequency data, we have therefore incorporated in the program a routine which generates seasonally oscillating growth curves with two additional parameters for expressing the position on the time axis and the intensity of the growth oscillations. Of these two parameters, one is thus a date of the year, called Winter Point (WP), which corresponds to the time of the year when growth is slowest. The other is a dimensionless constant which expresses the intensity of the growth oscillations and which can take values generally ranging from zero (in tropical fishes) to unity (in temperate fishes) (see Pauly and Gaschütz 1979, or Gaschütz et al. 1980).

Our "seasonalized" version of the VBGF was derived from a modified version of the standard VBGF of the form

\[ L(t) = L_\infty (1-e^{-KD(t-t_0)})^{1/D} \]  

where \( D \) is an additional parameter which can range in fishes between 0.3, such as in large tuna, to 1.0, such as in guppies (Pauly 1979; Gaschütz et al. 1980). [Setting \( D = 1 \), it will be noted, reduces equation 1) to the version of the VBGF commonly used in fish population dynamics]

The "seasonalized" version of equation 1) has the form

\[ L(t) = L_\infty (1-e^{-[KD(t-t_0) + C \frac{KD}{\pi} \sin 2\pi (t-t_0)]^{1/D}}) \]

where \( C \) is the dimensionless constant referred to above, while \( t_s \) sets the start of a sinusoid growth oscillation with regards to \( t = 0 \); the relationship between \( t_s \) and the winter point is given by

\[ t_s + 0.5 = WP \]

(see Pauly and Gaschütz 1979; or Gaschütz et al. 1980).

The seasonality characterizing the growth patterns of many fishes thus generally results in an optimization procedure involving not just 2 \((L_\infty \text{ and } K)\) but 4 parameters \((L_\infty, K, WP \text{ and } C)\). (See Fig. 4 for an example)

Problems of computing time

While searching for the optimal combination of the two parameters \( L_\infty \text{ and } K \) is a relatively straightforward job, searching for the optimal combination of four parameters is quite another matter. In fact, the amount of computation involved with larger sample sets can become elephantine. This is compounded by the fact that the execution of programs written in interpreter BASIC is relatively slow, and that the optimization procedure is partly human-aided, the results of these things being that running ELEFAN I on a microcomputer (as we do) can become quite tedious. With larger, faster systems, the time problem should be less important, however.
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Availability of ELEFAN I

A report containing more details on ELEFAN I, including several computed examples and a commented, full program listing is available to interested colleagues. We hope that this program will eventually become widely used, both to determine growth parameters from newly sampled or already published length-frequency data, and to reassess the validity of earlier growth estimates obtained by using paper- and pencil-methods.
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